

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/084,626	Applicant(s) SRINIDHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Antonio A. Caschera	Art Unit 2676	

All Participants:

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) Joel E. Lehrer.

Date of Interview: 14 September 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
9 and 10

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.


☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Responding to AF amendment, filed 08/30/05

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 3:30



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative to inquire on completing an Examiner's Amendment upon claim 9, in particular to add the letter "s" to the word "gradient" in the phrase, "...and summing the squared gradient" (see line 9 of claim 9) to make the term plural so that it resembles properly written claim 4. Applicant's Representative agreed to this modification to claim 9. .